# Chapter Sixteen Social Behavior

# Review of Key Ideas

1. Descri	be how various aspects of physical appearance may influence our impressions of others.
1-1.	In general, we attribute personality characteristics to good-looking people. For example, we view attractive people as warmer, friendlier, better-adjusted, and more poised. We also tend to view attractive people as (less/more) competent than less attractive people.
1-2.	A recent review also indicates that we have a surprisingly strong tendency to view attractive people as ( <u>less/more</u> ) competent than less attractive people. Perhaps a result, attractive people tend to obtain bette jobs and higher salaries.
1-3.	In addition, we make inferences about people based on their nonverbal behavior—how they move, talk and gesture. For example, based on a 10-second videotape, participants in a recent study guessed others sexual orientation with a relatively high degree of ( <a href="accuracy/inaccuracy">accuracy/inaccuracy</a> ).
Answe	ers: 1-1. positive (desirable, favorable) 1-2. more 1-3. accuracy (about 70%).
2. Expl	ain how schemas, stereotypes, and other factors contribute to subjectivity in person eption.
2-1.	Briefly define the following:
	(a) schemas:
	(b) stereotypes:

Men are competitive, women are sensitive: these are stereotypes. Stereotypes are broad generalizatio that tend to ignore the within a group. People who hold stereotypes do not necessarily assume that all members of a particular group have the same characteristics, but merely there is an increased that they do.						
Whether probabilistic or absolute, schemas in general and stereotypes in particular direct our perception, so that we tend to see the things we expect to see. Such selective perception results in an over-estimation of the degree to which our expectations match actual events, a phenomenon referred to as correlation.						
In one study, discussed in the text, subjects watched a videotape of a woman engaged in various activities (including drinking beer and listening to classical music). For one set of subjects she was described as a librarian and for another as a waitress. What effect did the occupational labels have on subjects' recall of the woman's activities? Which of the following is(are) true?						
Subjects in the "librarian" condition tended to recall her listening to classical music.						
Subjects in the "waitress" condition tended to recall her drinking beer.						
The study just described illustrates subjectivity in person perception. The schemas, in this case the, that we have about categories of people, affect how we perceive and what we						
Temenioer,						
We think that when we make a blunder, people notice, and that when we do something right, people notice as well. We are correct: appearance and behavior do influence impressions. Recent research indicates, however, that we (overestimate/underestimate) the extent to which others pay attention to our appearance and our behavior.						
Thus, people are (more/less) likely to notice our blunders than we think they will. And they are (more/less) likely to notice our successes than we think they will. This phenomenon is termed theeffect.						
A related finding is that people think that they understand others ( <u>better/worse</u> ) than others understand them. For example, you might think that you understand your roommate better than your roommate understands you. This phenomenon is know as the illusion of insight.						
2-1. (a) clusters of ideas about people and events (b) a type of schema; widely held beliefs about people group membership 2-2. diversity (variability), probability 2-3. illusory 2-4. both are true 2-5. stereotypes stimate 2-7. less, less, spotlight 2-8. better, asymmetric.						
the evolutionary perspective on bias in person perception.						
How does one explain bias or prejudice in terms of evolution? To explain anything in terms of evolution one assumes that the particular characteristic or trait had value in our evolutionary past. For example, the bias in favor of physical attractiveness might have signaled health, associated with potential in women and the ability to acquire in the stereotype of individuals as submissive and honest could simply result from our adaptive reaction to infants.						

2-2.

3.

	3-2.	Evolutionary theorists also assert that we needed a quick way to categorize people as friend or enemy or, in more technical terms, as members of our or members of the				
	3-3.	The question still remains: how could prejudice and bias be adaptive? It must be clear that what was adaptive in our evolutionary past ( <u>is also/may not be</u> ) adaptive now. Nonetheless, from the point of view of evolutionary theory, cognitive mechanisms involving bias have been shaped by natural				
	Answe	rs: 3-1. adaptive, reproductive, resources, baby-faced 3-2. ingroup, outgroup 3-3. may not be, selection.				
ATT.	RIBUT.	ION PROCESSES: EXPLAINING BEHAVIOR				
4.	Expla	in what attributions are and why we make them.				
	4-1.	Why are you reading this book? The search for causes of events and of our own and others' behavior is termed For example, you might your reading behavior to an upcoming test (or to personal interest, lust for knowledge, fear, etc.).				
	4-2.	Attributions are inferences that people make about the of events and about the their own and others' behavior.				
	4-3.	Why do we make attributions? We seem to have a strong need to our experiences.				
	Answe	ers: 4-1. attribution, attribute 4-2. causes (origin, source, explanation) 4-3. understand (explain).				
5.	Desc	ribe the distinction between internal and external attributions.				
	5-1.	Which of the following involve internal and which external attributions? Label each sentence with an I or an E.				
		He flunked because he's lazy.				
		Our team lost because the officials were biased against us.				
		The accident was caused by poor road conditions.				
		He achieved by the sweat of his brow.				
		Criminal behavior is caused by poverty.				
		His success is directly derived from his parents' wealth and influence.				
	Ansv	vers: 5-1. I, E, E, I, E, E.				
6	i. Sun	nmarize Weiner's theory of attribution.				

6-1. Weiner proposed that attributions are made, not only in terms of an internal-external dimension, but also in terms of a stable-unstable dimension. Suppose that Sally makes a high score on an exam. She could attribute her score to her ability, an (<u>internal/external</u>) factor that is also (<u>stable/unstable</u>). If she attributed her success to her good mood, the attribution would be (<u>internal/external</u>) and (<u>stable/unstable</u>).

Or, Sally may think she did well because these types of test are always easy, an (<u>internal/external</u>) and (<u>stable/unstable</u>) attribution. If she attributes her score to luck, the attribution would be (<u>internal/external</u>) and (<u>stable/unstable</u>).

Answers: 6-1. internal, stable, internal, unstable 6-2. external, stable, external, unstable,

### 7. Describe several types of attributional bias and cultural variations in attributional tendencies.

- **7-1.** Define or describe the following:
  - (a) fundamental attribution error:
  - (b) actor-observer bias:
  - (c) defensive attribution:
  - (d) self-serving bias:
- 7-2. Recent research has indicated that the attributional biases described above may not apply to all cultures. Since collectivist societies emphasize accomplishing the goals of the group over individual achievement, collectivist cultures are (<u>less/more</u>) likely to attribute other's behavior to personal traits. In other words, people from collectivist cultures tend to be (<u>less/more</u>) prone to the fundamental attribution error.
- 7-3. Some evidence also indicates that people from collectivist societies would be more likely to attribute their *successes* to (the ease of a task/unusual ability). Similarly, they would be more likely to attribute their *failures* to (bad luck/lack of effort). Thus, in contrast with people from individualistic societies, people from collectivist cultures appear to be (less/more) prone to the self-serving bias.

Answers: 7-1. (a) the tendency for observers to attribute an individual's behavior to *internal* rather than *external* factors (b) the tendency for observers to attribute an actor's behavior to internal rather than external factors, and the tendency for actors to attribute their own behavior to external causes (Yes, there is overlap between these two concepts. The fundamental attribution error is part of the actor-observer bias.) (c) the tendency to attribute other people's misfortunes to internal causes, that is, the tendency to blame the victim (d) the tendency to attribute our *successes* to internal factors and our *failures* to situational factors 7-2. less, less 7-3. the ease of a task, lack of effort, less.

#### CLOSE RELATIONSHIPS: LIKING AND LOVING

- 8. Summarize evidence on the role of physical attractiveness and similarity in attraction.
  - **8-1.** Physical attractiveness is the key determinant of romantic attraction for:
    - a. males
    - b. females
    - c. both males and females
  - **8-2.** The *matching hypothesis* asserts that people tend to date and marry others who are:
    - a. similar to them in attitudes and personality
    - b. are approximately equal to them in physical attractiveness
    - c. both of the above

	supports the idea that we are attracted to people who are ( <u>similar to/different from</u> ) us on several dimensions, especially in terms of attitudes and personality.						
		The similarity-attraction relationship extends to:  a. friendship  b. romantic relationships  c. both of the above					
	8-5.	It is clear that similarity causes attraction: people are attracted to others who are similar. Does attraction also cause similarity? Some studies ( <u>also/do not</u> ) support this causal direction as well.					
	Answers	: 8-1. c 8-2. b 8-3. similar to 8-4. c 8-5. also.					
9.	Summa	arize evidence on the role of reciprocity and romantic ideals in attraction.					
	9-1.	We tend to like people who like us. We also tend to think that if we like others, they will like us. This is the principle of in attraction.					
	9-2.	What do we get from reciprocal relationships? First, our friends frequently provide positive feedback that enhances the way we feel about ourselves, the self effect. Second, our friends may verify our own view of ourselves, the self effect.					
	9-3.	In romantic relationships, people constantly evaluate their partners against various ideals. Studies have found that the <i>greater the difference</i> between people's perceptions of their partners and their ideals, the (more/less) satisfied they are with the relationship and the more likely it is to (continue/dissolve).					
	9-4.	The perception of a partner is subjective, of course. People may exaggerate the good characteristics and overlook the bad. Some research has found that, among couples, individuals view their (more favorably/less favorably) than their partners view themselves. For example, suppose Jeff and Mary are a couple.  Who would have the more favorable evaluation of Jeff? (Jeff/Mary).					
	9-5.	Positive illusions about one's partner may make for a better relationship than will a cold view of reality. The happiest couples seem to be those who hold a reciprocated and (accurate/idealized) view of their partners.					
	Answei	rs: 9-1. reciprocity 9-2. enhancement, verification 9-3. less, dissolve 9-4. idealized, Mary 9-5. idealized.					
10.	Descr	ibe various distinctions regarding love described by Berscheid and Hatfield, and Sternberg.					
	10-1.	Hatfield and Berscheid divide love into two types, the intense emotional and sexual feelings oflove and the warm and tolerant affection oflove.					
	10-2.	Sternberg further divides companionate love into, characterized by closeness and sharing, and, an intention to maintain a relationship in the face of difficulties.					
	10-3.	Thus, Sternberg lists three factors or types of love. Of these, love appears to peak early and drop off rapidly, while and gradually increase over time.					
soci	AL BEHAVIOF	293					

Do opposites really attract, or do we like people who are similar? An overwhelming amount of research

8-3.

	10-4.	Commitment seems to be a particularly important factor in determining the durability of a relationship.					
		For example, in a study of dating couples, was more predictive of whether or not					
		the relationship would continue than was overall love.					
	Answ 10-4.	ers: 10-1. passionate, companionate 10-2. intimacy, commitment 10-3. passion, intimacy, commitment commitment.					
11	. Sum	Summarize the evidence on love as a form of attachment.					
	11-1.	In Chapter 11 we discussed types of attachment styles between infants and their caregivers. What <i>general</i> conclusion did Hazen and Shaver reach concerning the association between types of infant attachment and the love relationships of adults?					
	11-2.	Write the names of the three infant attachment styles next to the appropriate letters below.					
		S:					
		A-A:					
		A:					
	11-3.	Using the letters from the previous question, identify the types of romantic relations predicted by the infant attachment styles.					
		As adults, these individuals tend to use casual sex as a way of getting physically close without the					
		vulnerability of genuine intimacy and commitment.					
		These people experience more emotional highs and lows in their relationships, find conflict stressful, have more negative feelings after dealing with conflict.					
		These individuals easily develop close, committed, well-adjusted, long-lasting relationships.					
	Answer relation:	rs: 11-1. The three types of infant-caretaker attachments (also described in Chapter 11) tend to predict the love ships that children have as adults. 11-2. secure, anxious-ambivalent, avoidant 11-3. A, A-A, S.					
12.	Discus patter	ss cross-cultural research on romantic relationship and evolutionary analyses of mating ns.					
	12-1.	. While there are cross-cultural similarities in what people look for in mates, there are differences as well The idea that one should be in love in order to marry is in large part an 18th-century invention of (Eastern/Western) culture. Arranged marriages, in which romantic love is less important, tends to be characteristic of (collectivist/individualist) societies.					
	12-2.	With regard to physical appearance, researchers have found some standards that appear to be consistent across cultures, such as facial and men's preference for a moderately low ratio.					
	12-3.	What do the two sexes look for in potential mates? While both sexes value physical attractiveness, cross- cultural studies have found that place greater emphasis on youth and beauty, while					
0.4		look for mates who can acquire resources, which can be invested in children.					

294

12-4.	If men emphasize physical attractiveness and women resources, how does this affect <i>tactics</i> that the sexes use in pursuing the opposite sex? In support of the evolutionary perspective, Buss has found that men tend to use tactics that emphasize their (looks/resources) and, women use tactics that emphasize their (looks/resources). For example, might talk about their jobs or display what they own while would try to enhance their makeup or clothing.
12-5.	Tactics may involve deception. Both sexes may lie about their income, careers, and past relationships.  Females tend to be more upset when males lie about their and also their commitment to the woman. Males tend to be more upset when females lie about previous
12-6.	Women tend to (exaggerate/underestimate) men's potential commitment, perhaps as an evolved strategy for protection against consenting to sex and being abandoned. Men tend to overestimate women's interest, perhaps as an evolved tactic of not overlooking sexual opportunities.
12-7.	Sometimes individuals may try to attract someone already in a relationship, a phenomenon referred to by evolutionary researchers as mate According to Schmitt and Buss, about
	forms of attraction except that more secrecy is involved. One tactic is to attempt to entice the other person to poach them by complaining about their current or asking for "advice."
women <b>12-7.</b> p	rs: 12-1. Western, collectivist 12-2. symmetry, waist-to-hip 12-3. males, females 12-4. resources, looks, men, 12-5. resources (wealth, status, careers), promiscuity (sexual relationships, affairs) 12-6. underestimate, sexual paching, 50-60%, relationship.  S: MAKING SOCIAL JUDGMENTS
women 12-7. p TITUDE Descr	12-5. resources (wealth, status, careers), promiscuity (sexual relationships, affairs) 12-6. underestinate, sexual coaching, 50-60%, relationship.  S: MAKING SOCIAL JUDGMENTS ribe the components and dimensions of attitudes.
women 12-7. p	12-5. resources (wealth, status, careers), promiscuity (sexual relationships, affairs) 12-6. underestimate, sexual paching, 50-60%, relationship.  S: MAKING SOCIAL JUDGMENTS
women 12-7. p TITUDE Descr	12-5. resources (wealth, status, careers), promiscuity (sexual relationships, affairs) 12-6. underestinate, sexual braching, 50-60%, relationship.  S: MAKING SOCIAL JUDGMENTS  Tibe the components and dimensions of attitudes.  Do you favor gun control? Do you like expressionist art? Do you hate cottage cheese? Your answers
women 12-7. p TTUDE Descr 13-1.	12-5. resources (wealth, status, careers), promiscuity (sexual relationships, affairs) 12-6. underestinate, sexual paching, 50-60%, relationship.  S: MAKING SOCIAL JUDGMENTS  Tibe the components and dimensions of attitudes.  Do you favor gun control? Do you like expressionist art? Do you hate cottage cheese? Your answers would be evaluations and would also express your toward these objects of thought.  Attitudes are positive or negative of objects of thought. They may include three components: cognition (thought), affect (emotion), and behavioral predispositions. For example, people have attitudes toward cottage cheese. List the three possible components of attitudes next to the examples
women 12-7. p TITUDE Descr 13-1.	12-5. resources (wealth, status, careers), promiscuity (sexual relationships, affairs) 12-6. underestimate, sexual baching, 50-60%, relationship.  S: MAKING SOCIAL JUDGMENTS  Sibe the components and dimensions of attitudes.  Do you favor gun control? Do you like expressionist art? Do you hate cottage cheese? Your answers would be evaluations and would also express your toward these objects of thought.  Attitudes are positive or negative of objects of thought. They may include three components: cognition (thought), affect (emotion), and behavioral predispositions. For example, people have attitudes toward cottage cheese. List the three possible components of attitudes next to the examples below.  He likes cottage cheese.  He eats cottage cheese.
women 12-7. p TTUDE Descr 13-1.	12-5. resources (wealth, status, careers), promiscuity (sexual relationships, affairs) 12-6. underestimate, sexual baching, 50-60%, relationship.  S: MAKING SOCIAL JUDGMENTS  ibe the components and dimensions of attitudes.  Do you favor gun control? Do you like expressionist art? Do you hate cottage cheese? Your answers would be evaluations and would also express your
women 12-7. p  TITUDE  Descr 13-1.	12-5. resources (wealth, status, careers), promiscuity (sexual relationships, affairs) 12-6. underestimate, sexual baching, 50-60%, relationship.  S: MAKING SOCIAL JUDGMENTS  Sibe the components and dimensions of attitudes.  Do you favor gun control? Do you like expressionist art? Do you hate cottage cheese? Your answers would be evaluations and would also express your toward these objects of thought.  Attitudes are positive or negative of objects of thought. They may include three components: cognition (thought), affect (emotion), and behavioral predispositions. For example, people have attitudes toward cottage cheese. List the three possible components of attitudes next to the examples below.  He likes cottage cheese.  He eats cottage cheese.
women 12-7. p FITUDE Descr 13-1.	12-5. resources (wealth, status, careers), promiscuity (sexual relationships, affairs) 12-6. underestimate, sexual backing, 50-60%, relationship.  S: MAKING SOCIAL JUDGMENTS  Tibe the components and dimensions of attitudes.  Do you favor gun control? Do you like expressionist art? Do you hate cottage cheese? Your answers would be evaluations and would also express your toward these objects of thought.  Attitudes are positive or negative of objects of thought. They may include three components: cognition (thought), affect (emotion), and behavioral predispositions. For example, people have attitudes toward cottage cheese. List the three possible components of attitudes next to the examples below.  He likes cottage cheese.  He eats cottage cheese.  He thinks: "Cottage cheese seems kind of lumpy."  Attitudes also vary along various dimensions: strength, accessibility, and ambivalence. Place the appro-
women 12-7. p FITUDE Descr 13-1.	12-5. resources (wealth, status, careers), promiscuity (sexual relationships, affairs) 12-6. underestinate, sexual packing, 50-60%, relationship.  S: MAKING SOCIAL JUDGMENTS  Tibe the components and dimensions of attitudes.  Do you favor gun control? Do you like expressionist art? Do you hate cottage cheese? Your answers would be evaluations and would also express your toward these objects of thought.  Attitudes are positive or negative of objects of thought. They may include three components: cognition (thought), affect (emotion), and behavioral predispositions. For example, people have attitudes toward cottage cheese. List the three possible components of attitudes next to the examples below.  He likes cottage cheese.  He eats cottage cheese.  He thinks: "Cottage cheese seems kind of lumpy."  Attitudes also vary along various dimensions: strength, accessibility, and ambivalence. Place the appropriate words in the blanks.

Answers: 13-1. attitudes 13-2. evaluations, affect, behavior, cognition. (Note that the components may be remembered as the ABCs of attitude.) 13-3. accessibility, strength, ambivalence.

14.	Discuss the relations between attitudes and behavior.						
	14-1.	O	As LaPiere found in his travels with a Chinese couple, attitudes ( <u>are/are not</u> ) consistently good predictors of behavior. One reason involves a failure to account for the attitude dimensions just described, attitude, accessibility, and ambivalence. For example, the stronger the attitude, the better it will				
		pı	redict				
	14-2.	fr th	addition, the actual situation is likely to present new information: possible embarrassment, pressure om others, the unanticipated pleasant or unpleasant aspects of the situation, and so on. In other words, e behavioral component is just a <i>predisposition</i> that may change as a function of norms or other onstraints of the				
	Answe	ers: 14	4-1. are not, strength, behavior 14-2. situation.				
15.	Sum: proce	nari: ess of	ze evidence on source factors, message factors, and receiver factors that influence the persuasion.				
	15-1.	If	you are the source of a communication, the message giver:				
		(a)	What factors mentioned in your text would you use to make yourself more <i>credible</i> ?  and and				
		(b)	What else would you hope to emphasize about yourself?				
	15-2.	Wi	th regard to message factors:				
		(a)	Which is generally more effective, a one-sided message or a two-sided message?				
		(b)	In presenting your argument, should you use every argument that you can think of or emphasize just the stronger arguments?				
		(c)	Is simple repetition a good strategy, or should you say something just once?				
		(d)	If you repeat something often enough, people will come to believe it. What is the name of this effect?				
		(e)	Do fear appeals tend to work? When?				
Ī	15-3.	Wit	h regard to receiver factors in persuasive communications:				
		(a)	If you know in advance that someone is going to attempt to persuade you on a particular topic, you will be ( <u>harder/easier</u> ) to persuade. This is the factor referred to as				
		(b)	Resistance to persuasion is greater when an audience holds an attitude incompatible with the one being presented. In this case, the receiver will also tend to scrutinize arguments longer and with more skepticism, an effect referred to as bias.				
			In addition, in part because they may be anchored in networks of other beliefs that may also require change,				

Answers: 15-1. (a) expertise, trustworthiness (b) likability (for example, by increasing your physical attractiveness or emphasizing your similarity with the message receiver) 15-2. (a) In general, two-sided (That's the kind of speech Mark Antony gave over the body of Caesar in Shakespeare's *Julius Caesar*.) (b) stronger only (c) repetition (causes people to believe it's true, whether it is or isn't) (d) validity effect (e) yes, if they arouse fear (and especially if the audience thinks the consequences are very unpleasant, likely to occur, and avoidable) 15-3. (a) harder, forewarning (b) disconfirmation (c) stronger.

#### 16. Discuss how learning processes can contribute to attitudes.

16-1.	Following are examples that relate learning theory to attitude change. Indicate which type of learning—classical conditioning, operant conditioning, or observational learning—matches the example.
	Ralph hears Bob express a particular political attitude that is followed by thunderous applause. Thereafter, Ralph tends to express the same attitude.
	Advertisers pair soft drinks (and just about any other product) with attractive models. The audience likes the models and develops a stronger liking for the product.
	If you express an attitude that I like, I will agree with you, nod, say "mm-hmm," and so on. This will tend to strengthen your expression of that attitude.

Answers: 16-1. observational learning, classical conditioning, operant conditioning.

# 17. Explain how cognitive dissonance can account for the effects of counter-attitudinal behavior and effort justification.

(Dissonance is a truly complicated theory, but the following exercise should help. First read over the text, then see how you do on these questions. Here's a hint: Both problems are contrary to common-sense ideas of reward and punishment. Dissonance theory prides itself on making predictions contrary to conventional wisdom. The first item, below, indicates that we like behaviors accompanied by less, not more, reward; the second item indicates that we like behaviors accompanied by more, not less, discomfort.)

- 17-1. Ralph bought a used car. However, the car uses a lot of gas, which he doesn't like because he strongly supports conserving energy. He rapidly concludes that conserving fuel isn't so important after all.
  - (a) Ralph has engaged in counter-attitudinal behavior. What were the two contradictory cognitions? (One is a thought about his *behavior*. The other is a thought about an important *attitude*.)
  - (b) Suppose the car was a real beauty, a rare antique worth much more than the price paid. Alternatively, suppose that the car was only marginally worth what was paid for it. In which case would dissonance be stronger? In which case would the attitude about gas guzzling be more likely to change?
- 17-2. Suppose Bruce decides to join a particular club. (1) One possible scenario is that he must travel a great distance to attend, the club is very expensive, and he must give up much of his free time to become a member. (2) Alternatively, suppose that the traveling time is short, the club is inexpensive, and he need not give up any free time. In which case (1 or 2) will he tend to value his membership more, according to dissonance theory? Briefly, why?

SOCIAL BEHAVIOR

Answers: 17-1. (a) I know I bought the car. I'm against the purchase of cars that waste gas. (b) The additional reward in the first situation produces less dissonance and will tend to leave Ralph's original attitude about gas consumption intact. Ralph's attitude about gas consumption will change more when there is less justification (in terms of the value of the car) for his action. As described in your text, we tend to have greater dissonance, and greater attitude change, when less reward accompanies our counter-attitudinal behavior. 17-2. According to dissonance theory, he will value the membership more under alternative 1, even if the benefits of membership are slight, because people attempt to justify the effort expended in terms of the benefits received. (While dissonance is a genuine phenomenon with many of the characteristics that Festinger described in 1957, several other variables are operating, so it is difficult to predict when dissonance will occur.)

. IXE	Relate self-perception theory and the elaboration likelihood model to attitude change.				
18-	At a cocktail party Bruce eats caviar. When asked whether he likes caviar he responds, "I'm eating it, so guess I must like it." This example illustrates theory.				
18-	2. According to self-perception theory, people infer their attitudes by observing their own  Thus, if people engage in a behavior that is not accompanied by high rewards,				
	they are likely to infer that they (enjoy/do not enjoy) the behavior.				
18-1	To illustrate the elaboration likelihood model: Suppose that you are to travel in Europe and must decide between two options, renting a car or traveling by train (on a Eurailpass). In the blanks below, indicate which persuasive route, central (C) or peripheral (P), is referred to in these examples.				
	On the basis of train brochures, showing apparently wealthy and dignified travelers dining in luxury on the train while viewing the Alps, you opt for the train.				
	Your travel agent is an expert who has advised many of your friends, and she strongly recommends that you take the train. You decide on the train.				
	A friend urges you to consider details you hadn't previously considered: traffic, waiting in line, additional cab fare, and so on. You seek additional information, and after weighing the relative expenses and conveniences for four traveling together, you decide to rent a car.				
18-4					
18-5.					

#### CONFORMITY AND OBEDIENCE: YIELDING TO OTHERS

- 19. Summarize research on the determinants of conformity.
  - 19-1. Briefly summarize the general procedure and results of the Asch line-judging studies.

	majority. What effect will this "dissenter" have on conformity by the real subject?					
19-3.	Several factors affect conformity, as you may have observed. For example, people are more likely to					
	conform in situations, when the "correct" answer is very unclear.					
actually experim conform	s: 19-1. Subjects were asked to judge which of three lines matched a standard line, a judgment that was quite easy to make. Only one of the subjects was a real subject, however; the others were accomplices of the tenter, who gave wrong answers on key trials. The result was that a majority of the real subjects tended to a to the wrong judgments of the majority on at least some trials. 19-2. Conformity will be dramatically reduced, to one-fourth the frequency without a dissenter. 19-3. ambiguous.					
	ibe the Featured Study on obedience to authority and the ensuing controversy generated by am's research.					
20-1.	Two individuals at a time participated in Milgram's initial study, but only one was a real subject. The					
	other "subject" was an accomplice of the experimenter, an actor. By a rigged drawing of slips of paper the real subject became the, and the accomplice became the					
	There were a total of subjects, or teachers, in the initial study.					
20-2.						
20-3.	What percentage of the subjects continued to obey instructions, thereby increasing the shock all the way up to 450 volts?					
20-4.	What is the major conclusion to be drawn from this study? Why are the results of interest?					
20-5.	As you might imagine, Milgram's studies on obedience were controversial, producing both detractors and defenders. Following are summaries of the objections, involving both <i>generality</i> and <i>ethics</i> , followed by possible counter-arguments. Complete the counter-arguments by selecting the appropriate alternatives.					
	(a) "Subjects in an experiment expect to obey an experimenter, so the results don't generalize to the real world."					
	The flaw in this argument, according to Milgram's defenders, is that in many aspects of the real world, including the military and business worlds, obedience ( <u>is not/is also</u> ) considered appropriate. So, Milgram's results ( <u>do/do not</u> ) generalize to the real world.					
	(b) "Milgram's procedure, by which subjects were allowed to think that they had caved in to commands to harm an innocent victim, was potentially emotionally damaging to the subjects. Milgram's experiment was unethical."					
	Milgram's defenders assert that the brief distress experienced by the subjects was relatively (slight/great), in comparison with the important insights that emerged.					

Suppose there are six accomplices, one real subject, and that one of the accomplices dissents from the

19-2.

20.

Answers: 20-1. teacher, learner, 40 20-2. increase 20-3. 65 percent 20-4. The major conclusion is that ordinary people will tend to obey an authority, even when their obedience could result in considerable harm (and perhaps even death) to others. The result is of interest because it suggests that such obedience, as occurs in war atrocities (e.g., in World War II, at Mi Lai in Viet Nam, in Cambodia, Rwanda, Yugoslavia, and throughout history), may not be due so much to the evil character of the participants as to pressures in the situation. (Milgram's results are also of interest because most people would not expect them: even psychiatric experts predicted that fewer than 1% of the subjects would go all the way to 450 volts.) 20-5. (a) is also, do (b) slight. (Many psychologists today share the critics' concerns, however, and the study has not been replicated in the United States since the 1970s.)

#### 21. Discuss cultural variations in conformity and obedience.

	21-1.	As with other cross-cultural comparisons, replications in other countries yield some similarities and some differences. Indicate true (T) or false (F) for the following statements.				
		The obedience effect found by Milgram seems to be a uniquely American phenomenon.				
		In replications of the Milgram studies in several European countries, obedience levels were even higher than those in the United States.				
		Replications of the Asch line-judging studies have found that cultures that emphasize collectivism are more conforming than are those that emphasize individualism.				
	Answe	rs: 21-1. F, T, T.				
вен	AVIOR	IN GROUPS: JOINING WITH OTHERS				
22.	Descr	ibe the Stanford Prison Simulation and its implications.				
	22-1.	The Stanford Prison study was conducted by Philip Zimbardo in the 1970s. A total of 24 undergraduate subjects were pre-screened and assigned to be either guards or prisoners in a simulated prison on the campus at Stanford.				
	22-2.	It is important to note that the participants were normal, psychologically healthy college students without obvious character flaws. Yet within a few days, subjects assigned to be became sadistic and brutal, and subjects assigned to be the became, for the most part, listless and apathetic. In other words, subjects tended to behave in line with the social associated with the positions of guards and prisoners.				
	22-3.	Although done more than 30 years ago, the study resonates with recent events involving American military personnel at Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq. While the government tended to blame character flaws (i.e., a few bad apples), Zimbardo would look for situational pressures. What would lead normal Americans to commit sadistic and brutal acts? Like the Milgram study, this simulation demonstrates once again the power of (personality differences/the situation).				
	22-4.	How can we decrease the likelihood that prison guards will engage in torture or abuse? Zimbardo has several specific suggestions involving:				
		a. supervision of guards, sanctions for abuses, and accountability in the chain of command.				
		b. weeding out the individuals with moral issues.				

300 CHAPTER SIXTEEN

c. counting on people's inherent good will.

23.	Discuss the	nature of	groups and	the	bystander	effect.

	23-1.	The word <i>group</i> doesn't have the same meaning for social psychologists that it does for everyone else. As I look out across my social psychology class on a Tuesday morning, I might say to myself, "Hm, quite a large group we have here today." Actually, my class is not a group in social psychological terms because it lacks one, and perhaps two, of the essential characteristics of a group. A group consists of two or more individuals who (a) and (b) are
	23-2.	Which of the following are groups, as defined by social psychologists?
		A husband and wife.
		The board of directors of a corporation.
		A sports team.
		Spectators at an athletic event.
		Shoppers at a mall.
	23-3.	What is the bystander effect?
	23-4.	Why does the bystander effect occur? In part because the presence of onlookers not doing anything
		produces an situation (no one seems to be upset, so maybe it's not an emer-
		gency). In addition, the presence of others causes a of responsibility (we're all
		responsible, or else someone else will do it).
	people a greater t	s: 23-1. (a) interact (b) interdependent 23-2. The first three are groups, and the last two are not. 23-3. When are in groups (or at least in the presence of others), they are less likely to help than when they are alone. Or, the the number of onlookers in an emergency, the less likely any one of them is to assist the person in need. abiguous, diffusion.
24.	Summ	arize evidence on group productivity, including social loafing.
	24-1.	Individual productivity in large groups is frequently less than it is in small groups. Two factors contribute
		to this decreased productivity: a loss of among workers in larger groups (e.g.,
		efforts of one person interfere with those of another) and decreased resulting
		from social loafing.
	24-2.	Social loafing is the reduction in expended by individuals working in groups
		compared to people working alone.
	24-3.	In some situations in which members are convinced that individual performance is crucial and that
		excellent group performance will be rewarded, social loafing is ( <u>less/more</u> ) likely to occur. Social loafing
		is also less frequent in close-knit groups that emphasize group goals. Social loafing is less common in
		(collectivist/individualistic) societies, for example.

Answers: 24-1. efficiency (coordination), effort 24-2. effect 24-3. less, collectivist.

#### 25. Describe group polarization and groupthink.

25-1. This problem should help you understand the concept of group polarization. Suppose that a group of five corporate executives meet to decide whether, and by how much, to raise the cost of their product (between 0% and 20%). Before they meet as a group, the decisions of the five executives (expressed as a percentage) are as follows: +20%, +15%, +15%, +5%, and +5%. After they meet as a group, which of the following is most likely to be the result? Assume that group polarization occurs.

```
a. +15%, +10%, +5%, +5%, and +0%
```

b. 
$$+20\%$$
,  $+15\%$ ,  $+15\%$ ,  $+15\%$ , and  $+10\%$ 

- **25-2.** What is group polarization?
- **25-4.** Groups afflicted with groupthink tend to ignore important information. Members are under pressure to avoid presenting conflicting views, and they (<u>underestimate/overestimate</u>) the unanimity of the group.
- 25-5. Recent evidence has also found that group members tend not to pool information. That is, they tend to:a. discuss information that is commonly known among members.b. explore information that is unique to individual members.
- 25-6. According to Janis, the major cause of groupthink is high group \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the group spirit or attraction that members have for the group. Other factors that may contribute to groupthink include *isolation*, (directive/nondirective) leadership, and *stress* accompanying the decision process.
- 25-7. Much of the support for groupthink consists of (<u>laboratory studies/retrospective accounts</u>). The theory is difficult to test in formal experiments, but it is a fascinating viewpoint that will undoubtedly inspire continued research.

Answers: 25-1. b 25-2. Group polarization is the tendency for a group's decision to shift toward a more extreme position in the direction that individual members are *already leaning*. 25-3. conform 25-4. overestimate 25-5. a 25-6. cohesiveness, directive 25-7. retrospective accounts.

#### REFLECTING ON THE CHAPTER'S THEMES

26. E	xplain how	the chapter	highlighted	three of the	text's unify	ing themes
-------	------------	-------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	------------

26-1. This chapter again illustrates psychology's commitment to empirical research. When people hear the results of psychological studies, they frequently conclude that the research just confirms common sense. Dispute this view by listing and describing at least one study with results that are not predictable from common sense assumptions.

26-2.	Cross-cultural differences and similarities also reflect one of the unifying themes. People conform, obey,
	attribute, and love throughout the world, but the manner and extent to which they do so are affected by
	cultural factors. Important among these factors is the degree to which a culture has an
	or orientation.
26-3.	Finally, the chapter provides several illustrations of the way in which our view of the world is highly
	subjective. For example, we tend to make ability and personality judgments based on people's physical
	; see what we expect to see as a result of the cognitive structures, termed social
	; distort judgments of physical lines based on pressures to
	; and make foolish decisions when we become enmeshed in the group phenom-
	enon, known as

Answers: 26-1. This chapter has described at least three studies that defy the predictions of common sense or of experts. (1) Concerning *Milgram's studies*, psychiatrists incorrectly predicted that fewer than 1% of the subjects would go to 450 volts. (2) Results from *cognitive dissonance* studies are frequently the opposite of common sense. For example, common sense would suggest that the more people are paid, the more they would like the tasks for which they receive payment; dissonance researchers found that the opposite is true; people paid *more* liked the tasks *less*. (3) Common sense might predict that the larger the number of people who see someone in need of help, the more likely any one is to offer help. Research on the *bystander effect* consistently finds the opposite result. 26-2. individualistic, collectivistic 26-3. attractiveness (appearance), schemas, conform, groupthink.

#### PERSONAL APPLICATION • UNDERSTANDING PREJUDICE

27	Relate person	nerception	processes and	l attributional	bias to	prejudice
41.	Ketate person	perception	processes and	terributions	~~~~	PJ

27-1.	Prejudice is a negative toward others based on group membership. Like other attitudes, prejudice may include affective,, and behavioral components.
27-2.	The cognitive component of prejudice may be thought of as a schema about groups. This type of schema is frequently referred to as a
27-3.	Stereotypes are part of the <i>subjectivity</i> of person perception. People tend to see what they expect to see, and when stereotypes are activated, people see and remember information that ( <u>is/is not</u> ) congruent with their stereotype.
27-4.	Stereotypes are highly accessible and frequently activated automatically, so that even though people

27-4. Stereotypes are highly accessible and frequently activated automatically, so that even though people reject prejudiced ideas, stereotypes (<u>can not/may still</u>) influence behavior.

	27-5.	Our attributional biases are also likely to maintain or augment prejudice. For example, observers tend to attribute success in men to (ability/luck) but success in women to (ability/luck).
	27-6.	People may also attribute other people's behavior to internal traits, the bias referred to as the attribution error.
	27-7.	When people experience adversity such as prejudice, we are also likely to attribute their misfortune to character flaws, a predisposition referred to as defensive attribution or blaming.
	Answe 27-7. v	rs: 27-1. attitude, cognitive 27-2. stereotype 27-3. is 27-4. may still 27-5. ability, luck 27-6. fundamental actim.
28.	Relate	e principles of attitude formation and intergroup competition to prejudice.
	28-1.	Attitudes are to a large extent learned. For example, if someone makes a disparaging remark about an ethnic group that is followed by approval, the approval is likely to function as a that increases that person's tendency to make similar remarks in the future. This is the learning process known as Or, if someone simply <i>observes</i> another person making such a remark the observer may acquire the tendency to make similar remarks through the process known as
	28-2.	Ingroup members view themselves as different from the outgroup in several ways. First, they tend to see their group as superior to outgroups, a tendency known as In addition, they see themselves as relatively diverse or heterogeneous and outgroup members as relatively
	28-3.	The tendency for ingroup members to see outgroup members as highly (dissimilar/similar) to one another is known as the illusion of outgroup
	28-4.	Ingroup favoritism is something that doesn't go away. Prejudice clearly exists in modern societies, but it tends to be of the more ( <u>subtle/blatant</u> ) form. By one estimate, only about% of people display the more extreme form of prejudice involving intense hatred and aggression.
	Answer: 28-3. sin	s: 28-1. reinforcer, operant conditioning, observational learning (modeling) 28-2. ethnocentrism, homogeneous nilar, homogeneity 28-4. subtle, 10.
29.	Relate	ingroups, outgroups, and threats to social identity to prejudice.
	29-1.	People form into groups. The groups of which they are members of are called ingroups, and groups of which they are not members of are called outgroups. People tend to think that their ingroups are superior to Thus, one source of hostility to outgroups is simply group formation.
	29-2.	People also tend to think that members of their ingroups are very different from one another (i.e., heterogeneous), and that members of outgroups are very similar to one another. In other words, in their perception of outgroups people experience the illusion of outgroup This illusion makes it easier to sustain stereotypic beliefs about outgroups.

	29-3.	Hostility toward outgroups is also fostered both by threats to one's personal or social identity. For example, flunking a test may be a threat to your identity; the low pass-rate of your social organization may be a threat to your identity. Either threat may increase outgroup derogation.
	Answers	s: 29-1. outgroups 29-2. homogeneity 29-3. personal, social.
CRIT	<i>ICAL TI</i>	HINKING APPLICATION • WHOM CAN YOU TRUST? ANALYZING CREDIBILITY AND FLUENCE TACTICS
		ss some useful criteria for evaluating credibility and some standard social influence gies.
	30-1.	We are constantly bombarded with information designed to persuade. Sometimes we are persuaded and happy about it, and sometimes we regret the outcome. How can we resist attempts at manipulation? Two tactics are discussed in this section: evaluating the of the source, and learning about several widely-used social strategies.
	30-2.	To assess credibility, consider these questions: Do they have a interest? If so, information they provide may not be objective. What are the source's? Although degrees do not certify competence, they may indicate relevant training.
	30-3.	Is the information inconsistent with views on the issue? If not, one should ponder why others haven't arrived at the same conclusion. Finally, what was the of analysis used? One should be particularly skeptical if the source relies on anecdotes or focuses on small inconsistencies in accepted beliefs.
	30-4.	with one of the four strategies discussed: foot-in-the-door, reciprocity, lowball, and scarcity.  Scenario 1: Mail solicitation for a magazine subscription. "Enclosed is a packet of seeds, free of charge, just for you. We hope you enjoy the beautiful flowers they produce! Also, you will benefit from subscribing to Outdoor Beauty magazine. We've enclosed a free copy."
		Scenario 2: Newspaper ad. "This weekend only—mammoth blowout car deals!! These beauties will go fast!!!! Don't miss this once-in-a-lifetime opportunity!!"
		Scenario 3: A college development office calling alumni. First week: "We

305 SOCIAL BEHAVIOR

member donors with a contribution of \$100?"

don't care about the amount, perhaps \$5, just so that we can ensure full

participation." You commit to \$5. Next week: "Would you become one of our

Scenario 4: On the phone with a wholesale camera salesman. "Yes, we do have the XXY Camera at \$499.00 plus tax. We'll ship that this afternoon. Now, did you want the new lens or the old lens with that? The new lens would be an additional \$99. Did you want the carrying case also?" (Your assumption was that the so-called extras were included in the original price.)
Scenario 5: Mail solicitation. First week: "Would you answer this brief survey for us? There are only 12 questions." Next week: "Thanks for responding to our survey! We desperately need money for this worthwhile (candidate, school, charity, etc.)."
Scenario 6: At the car dealer. "Do we have a deal, then, at \$22,800 plus tax? Great!! Let me check with my manager to see if that price includes dealer prep and the CD player." (Fifteen minutes pass while the salesman supposedly checks.) "Well, I tried, but the manager won't budge. Fortunately it's not much additional!"

Answers: 30-1. credibility, influence 30-2. vested, credentials 30-3. conventional, method 30-4. reciprocity, scarcity, foot-in-the-door, lowball, foot-in-the-door, lowball.

# Review of Key Terms

Attitudes Attributions Bystander effect Channel Cognitive dissonance Collectivism Commitment Companionate love Conformity Defensive attribution Discrimination External attributions Foot-in-the-door technique Fundamental attribution error	Group Group cohesiveness Group polarization Groupthink Illusory correlation Individualism Ingroup Internal attributions Interpersonal attraction Intimacy Lowball technique Matching hypothesis Message Obedience	Outgroup Passionate love Person perception Prejudice Receiver Reciprocity Reciprocity norm Self-serving bias Social loafing Social psychology Social roles Social schemas Source Stereotypes
:	1. The branch of psycholog feelings, and behaviors a	ty concerned with the way individuals' thoughts, are influenced by others.
	2. The process of forming i	
		ategories of social events and people, which we was
		people have certain characteristics because of their
		e think that two events are strongly associated even

	Inferences that people draw about the causes of events, others' behavior, and their own behavior.
7.	Attributing the causes of behavior to personal dispositions, traits, abilities, and feelings.
8.	Attributing the causes of behavior to situational demands and environmental constraints.
9.	The tendency of an observer to favor internal attributions in explaining the behavior of an actor.
10.	The tendency to blame victims for their misfortune so that we feel less likely to be victimized in a similar way.
11.	The tendency to attribute our positive outcomes to personal factors and our negative outcomes to situational factors.
12.	Liking or positive feelings toward another.
13.	Getting people to agree to a small request to increase the chances that they will agree to a larger request later.
14.	The observation that males and females of approximately equal physical attractiveness are likely to select each other as partners.
15.	Liking those who show that they like us.
16.	Widely shared expectations about how people in certain positions are
10.	supposed to behave.
17.	A complete absorption in another person that includes tender sexual feelings and the agony and ecstasy of intense emotion.
18.	A warm, trusting, tolerant affection for another whose life is deeply intertwined with one's own.
19.	Warmth, closeness, and sharing in a relationship.
20.	the difficulties and costs that
20	may arise
21	Positive or negative evaluation of objects of thought; may include cognitive, behavioral, and emotional components.
22	1 manufaction
23	de amagaga is sent
- 23 24	the day the course
- 25 25	1 1 the manage is sent
_ 20	total and back when we receive something from others;
<b>0</b> /	the members of a group.
_ 2′	to the lated cognitions are inconsistent.
 _ 2	
_ 2	to an attractive deal before its hidden
_ 3	costs are revealed.
_ 3	1. A form of compliance that occurs when people follow direct commands, usually from someone in a position of authority.  1. A form of compliance that occurs when people follow direct commands, usually from someone in a position of authority.
3	2. Involves putting group goals ahead of personal goals and defining one's identity in terms of the group one belongs to.
_ 3	3. Involves putting personal goals ahead of group goals and defining one's identity in terms of personal attributes rather than group memberships.
	307

34. 35.	Two or more individuals who interact and are interdependent.  The apparent paradox that people are less likely to provide needed help when they are in groups than when they are alone.
36.	A reduction in effort by individuals when they work together, as compared to when they work by themselves.
37.	Situation that occurs when group discussion strengthens a group's dominant point of view and produces a shift toward a more extreme decision in that direction.
38.	Phenomenon that occurs when members of a cohesive group emphasize concurrence at the expense of critical thinking in arriving at a decision.
39.	The group one belongs to and identifies with.
40.	People who are not a part of the ingroup.
41.	The strength of the liking relationships linking group members to each other and to the group itself.
42.	A negative attitude held toward members of a group.

Answers: 1. social psychology 2. person perception 3. social schemas 4. stereotypes 5. illusory correlation 6. attributions 7. internal attributions 8. external attributions 9. fundamental attribution error 10. defensive attribution 11. self-serving bias 12. interpersonal attraction 13. foot-in-the-door technique 14. matching hypothesis 15. reciprocity 16. social roles 17. passionate love 18. companionate love 19. intimacy 20. commitment 21. attitudes 22. source 23. receiver 24. message 25. channel 26. reciprocity norm 27. discrimination 28. cognitive dissonance 29. conformity 30. lowball technique 31. obedience 32. collectivism 33. individualism 34. group 35. bystander effect 36. social loafing 37. group polarization 38. groupthink 39. ingroup 40. outgroup 41. group cohesiveness 42. prejudice.

# Review of Key People

Solomon Asch Ellen Berscheid David Buss Leon Festinger		Elaine Hatfield Cindy Hazen and Phillip Shaver Fritz Heider Irving Janis	Stanley Milgram Bernard Weiner Philip Zimbardo
	1.	Was the first to describe the crucia attributions; developed balance the	l dimension along which we make cory.
	2.		tachment patterns as predictors of adult
	3.	With Hatfield, did research describ and companionate.	ing two types of romantic love: passionate
	4.	Originator of the theory of cognitiv	e dissonance
	5.	Devised the "line-judging" procedu conformity.	
	6.	In a series of "fake shock" experime authority figures.	ents, studied the tendency to obey
	7.	Developed the concept of groupthin	k.
	8.	Under the name of Walster, did early attractiveness; with Berscheid, description	v study on dating and about
	9.	Concluded that attribution has not or stable-unstable dimension.	aly on internal-external dimension but a

		10.	Proposed an evolutionary view of attraction; did cross-cultural research on
		_ 11.	priorities in mate selection.  Well-known for the Stanford Prison Simulation.
	Answers: 1. Heider 2. Hazen & 10. Buss 11. Zimbardo.	Shave	er 3. Berscheid 4. Festinger 5. Asch 6. Milgram 7. Janis 8. Hatfield 9. Weiner
	IV. Du33 XI, Zimosa 23.		
Sel	f-Quiz		
		acteri	stics do we tend to attribute to physically attractive people?
1.	Which of the following char	actern	siles do no tone to the contract of the contra
	a. low intelligence		
	b. friendliness		
	c. unpleasantness		
	d. coldness		
2.	Cognitive structures that gu	ide ou	ir perceptions of people and events are termed
4.	a. attributions		
	b. stigmata		
	c. schemas		
	d. denkmals		
			1 -there' behavior are termed
3.	Inferences that we make about the causes of our own and others' behavior are termed		
	a. attributions		
	b. stigmata		
	c. schemas		
	d. denkmals		
			ne examination, which he attributed to native ability and hard work. Which
4.	<ul> <li>Bruce performed very well</li> </ul>	l on th	ie examination, which he attributed to have a
	attributional bias does this	musu	rate?
	a. the fundamental attribut	ion er	ror
	b. the actor-observer bias		
	<ul> <li>c. the self-serving bias</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>d. illusory correlation</li> </ul>		
			en emphasize physical attractiveness in mate selection, while women emphasize
5	6. According to this viewpor	nt, me	Mylish theory does this describe?
	the ability to acquire reso	urces.	Which theory does this describe?
	a. evolutionary theory		,
	b. cognitive dissonance		
	c. sexual propensity theor	У	
	d. attribution theory		
		ould b	be an example of the fundamental attribution error?
•	_ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	It as a	taillte
	a. Ralph described himse	rense	n he failed was that he was sick that day.
	b. Ralph thought that the c. Jayne said Ralph failed	10asu Lheen	use the test was unfair.
	c. Jayne said Kaiph Tailer	1 DCC9	in towns of his incompetence and laziness.

d. Sue explained Ralph's failure in terms of his incompetence and laziness.

complying with a larger request later?

a. foot-in-the-doorb. feigned scarcityc. reciprocity norm

d. lowball

7. Which influence technique involves asking for a small request in order to increase the likelihood of the target

- 8. Which of the following is, in general, likely to reduce the persuasiveness of a message?
  - a. The receiver's viewpoint is already fairly close to that of the message.
  - b. The receiver has been forewarned about the message.
  - c. A two-sided appeal is used.
  - d. The source is physically attractive.
- 9. Subjects in Group A are paid \$1 for engaging in a dull task. Subjects in Group B are paid \$20 for the same task. Which theory would predict that Group A subjects would enjoy the task more? a. balance
  - b. cognitive dissonance
  - c. reinforcement theory
  - d. observational learning
- 10. In making a decision, you rely on the opinion of experts and the behavior of your best friends. According to the elaboration likelihood model, which route to persuasion have you used? a. central
  - b. peripheral
  - c. attributional
  - d. 66
- 11. Which of the following is the best statement of conclusion concerning Milgram's classic study involving the learner, teacher, and ostensible shock?
  - a. Under certain circumstances, people seem to enjoy the opportunity to be cruel to others.
  - b. People have a strong tendency to obey an authority even if their actions may harm others.
  - c. The more people there are who observe someone in need of help, the less likely any one is to help.
  - d. Aggression seems to be a more potent force in human nature than had previously been suspected.
- 12. Which of the following is most likely to function as a group?
  - a. shoppers at a mall
  - b. the audience in a theater
  - c. the board of trustees of a college
  - d. passengers in an airplane
- 13. Someone witnesses a car accident. In which of the following cases is that individual most likely to stop and render
  - Only she saw the accident.
  - b. She and one other individual saw the accident.
  - c. She and 18 others saw the accident.
  - d. The other observers are pedestrians.
- 14. Suppose the original decisions of members of a group are represented by the following numbers in a group polarization study: 2, 3, 3, 4, 5. The range of numbers possible in the study is from 1 to 7. Which of the following possible shifts in decisions would demonstrate polarization? a. 1, 2, 3, 3, 4
  - b. 3, 3, 4, 5, 5
  - c. 4, 5, 6, 6, 7
  - d. 3, 3, 6, 6, 6
- 15. According to Janis, what is the major cause of groupthink?
  - a. strong group cohesion
  - b. diffusion of responsibility
  - c. the tendency of group members to grandstand
  - d. group conflict

Answers: 1. b 2. c 3. a 4. c 5. a 6. d 7. a 8. b 9. b 10. b 11. b 12. c 13. a 14. a 15. a.

# InfoTrac Keywords

Attributions Cognitive Dissonance Group cohesiveness Group polarization Groupthink
Illusory correlation
Individualism and collectivism

Self-serving Bias Social loafing Stereotype and prejudice

311